UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/798,162	03/11/2004	Bernhard Gruenewaelder	H 5336 PCT/US	5098
423 HENKEL CORI	7590 02/07/200°	7	EXAMINER	
THE TRIAD, S	UITE 200	NILAND, PATRICK DENNIS		
2200 RENAISS GULPH MILLS			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
002	~, · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		1714	
SHORTENED STATUTORY	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MON	NTHS	02/07/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

L	/
_	

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/798,162	GRUENEWAELDER ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Patrick D. Niland	1714			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address eriod for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11/22	<u>2/06</u> .	•			
<u> </u>	action is non-final.	!			
·	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under E					
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) 1,2 and 11-17 is/are pending in the ap	oplication.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	·				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2 and 11-17</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.	•			
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	· r.				
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
THE DAIL OF GEORGEAGOT IS OBJECTED TO BY THE EX					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
 Certified copies of the priority document 					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
Copies of the certified copies of the prior	rity documents have been receive	ed in this National Stage			
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.			
Attachment(s)					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date					
Brook Madi Bate	5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ratent Application			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date					

Application/Control Number: 10/798,162

Art Unit: 1714

- 1. The amendment of 11/22/06 has been entered. Claims 1-2 and 11-17 are pending.
 - 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

 (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person

section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. Claims 1-2 and 11-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over WO 00/44847 Burns et al..

Burns et al. disclose the instantly claimed adhesive compositions at the abstract; page 3, lines 10-31, particularly line 29; page 4, lines 1-31, particularly 25-31; page 5, lines 1-30, particularly line 20 which falls within the scope of the instant claim 16 while the remainder encompasses the "aminopolyether polyol" of the instant claims as does page 6, lines 1-26; page 6, lines 28-29; page 7, lines 1-31, particularly 10-13 of which DMDEE falls within the scope of the instant claim 17; and the remainder of the document. Page 5, lines 22-25 encompasses the instant claims 11 and 13. Page 4, lines 19-20 falls within the scope of the instant claim 12. If the aminopolyether polyol is intended to be part of "the catalyst" of claim 15, the aminopolyether polyol of the reference plus the exemplified amounts of DMDEE (e.g. page 20, lines 25-27) fall within the scope of the instant claim 15. No alkali metal ions are present which falls within the scope of the instant claim 2.

It would have at least been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the instant invention to use the above discussed combinations of materials which fall within the scope of the instant claims because they are encompassed by Burns and would have been expected to give the adhesives having the properties discussed by Burns. No unexpected results are seen in a manner commensurate in scope with the instant claims and the cited prior art.

Application/Control Number: 10/798,162

Art Unit: 1714

Applicant's argument that the instantly claimed aminopolyether polyol having the instantly claimed ratio of ether groups to amino nitrogen is not disclosed is not persuasive. Consideration of all of the descriptors of the reference aminopolyether polyol, particularly the molecular weights, weight % of ethylene oxide groups, the figure which contains only one initiator molecule, the disclosed initiators which include triethanol amine of claim 16 as cited above, and the fact that a triethanolamine initiated polyether polyol made with the disclosed alkylene oxides and having the disclosed molecular weight of 1500 which is preferred (page 5, lines 14-17) will have the claimed amount of ether to amino nitrogen shows that the prior art encompasses the instantly claimed invention squarely. The applicant has not rebutted the above statement of obviousness rationale nor have they shown unexpected results in a manner commensurate in scope with the instant claims and the cited prior art. This rejection is therefore maintained.

4. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/798,162

Art Unit: 1714

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Patrick D. Niland whose telephone number is 571-272-1121. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Thursday from 10 to 5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vasu Jagannathan, can be reached on 571-272-1119. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1714